## Eastern Daily Press



WEEKEND EDITION

£1.60

What do **Harry Potter**, the England football team and dinosaurs have in common?

ekeno

Why our woods are so precious Weekend

**Norwich City's** fantastic season

Don't miss our writers' verdicts

20-page Pink Un

+ 7-day

News, views & previews in your new-look 32-page Motoring section

**Andy Russell features** 

his 'Safe Rider' experience in today's

# BROADS ROW SET FOR THE HIGH CO

A judicial review is being sought over the rebranding of the Broads. cture EDP LIBRARY



in a bid to quash the rebranding of the

Landowners Tim and Geli Harris are seeking a judicial review of the Broads Authority's decision to rename Norfolk and Suffolk's wetlands as the Broads National Park, accusing the authority of

acting irrationally.

The case could end up in London's High
Court in six to eight weeks.

**Exclusive** by Rosa McMahon

The change was introduced in January by the Broads Authority in a bid to bring in more visitors – despite the area not legally becoming a national park.

Promises were made that there was no future ambition to become a national park

Turn to Page Seven



www.HDP24.co.uk

## Legal challenge seeks to quash Broads National Park change

#### From page one

in law and the Sandford Principle – which puts conservation as a priority over recreation and navigation – will not be applied for The park is already part of the National Park family.

The government objected to the name change on legal grounds in 2008 and 2009, but has since said the decision rested with the authority and its stakeholders.

Mr Harris, 67, of Catfield Hall, in Catfield, described the Broads Authority's decision as "wrong and misleading", believing the consultation process was incomplete and the change neglected conservation.

tion process was incompiere and the change neglected conservation.

In a statement, the Broads Authority said: "We have been advised by solicitors acting for a member of the public that they have issued a claim seeking to judicially review the Authority's decision on 23rd January 2015 concerning the branding of the Broads area as the Broads National Park.

And Jacquie Burgess, chairman of the Broads Authority, said: "The authority followed due process in coming to its decisions relating to the branding of the area for marketing purposes and we are confident in our defence of this position." In Mr and Mrs Harris's legal

In Mr and Mrs Harris's legal papers, the Broads Authority are accused of acting "unlawfully", and being "irrational" and "unresconable"

"unreasonable".

They read: "By misappropriating the name, identity and function of a national park, the purported rebranding has the potential to confuse the public as to the status of the authority.

"We are unaware of any other public authority lawfully rebranding itself in the style of another public body with different and legally distinct functions.

"Given the local, regional and national importance of this matter, and the authority's clear disregard for the law, its duties and the environment as a whole, we are confident the court will grant such an order."

Mr and Mrs Harris have been battling to save Catfield Fen from being damaged by abstraction for the past seven years.



### Judicial review

A judicial review is a court proceeding where a judge reviews the lawfulness of a decision or action made by a public body.

It is a challenge to the way in which a decision has been made, rather than the rights and wrongs of the conclusion reached. It is not concerned

Earlier this month the Environment Agency refused the renewal of two water abstraction licences affecting the site and neighbouring Sutton Fen.

Mr Harris said this experience has shown the Broads Authority's decision-makers give "insufficient attenwith the conclusions of that process and whether those were "right", as long as the right procedures have been followed. The court will not substitute what it thinks is the "correct" decision.

Tim Harris's case could end up in London's High Court in six to eight weeks.

tion to support its conservation objectives".
"Now in the rebranding exercise, they have weakened further the

Now if the Fordating exercise, they have weakened further the Broads Authority's position on conservation by dropping its planned ambition of becoming a legal national park and by agreeing never to implement the Sandford principle, which, by giving first priority to conservation, is the distinguishing feature of a national park," he said.

Natural England have been invited to be a party in the case as they were not consulted by the Broads Authority over the name change.

One of Natural England's statutory duties is to consider which areas in England meet the criteria for designation as a national park. The Broads Authority say they did

The Broads Authority say they did not need to consult the government quango as they had already done so with Defra.

Do you have a story about the Broads? Email rosa.mcmahon@archant.co.uk

#### Summary of the claims

■ The Broads Authority has used a power that it does not have or is unable to use;
■ The focus on promoting the Broads does not follow the core functions of the

authority;

Prioritising conservation
is key to all national parks
therefore not following the
Sandford Principle or seeking
legal status is irrational;
The Broads Authority
failed to consult properly or
give proper reasons for its
decision;
Natural England was not

Natural England was not consulted;The rebrand misrepresents

The rebrand misrepresent the Broads Authority.

The purported rebranding has the potential to confuse the public as to the status of the authority

From Tim and Geli Harris's legal papers.

■ Left, Ranworth Broad reflects two aspects of the Broads - the quiet nature reserve and the boats which cluster on its waters.

Photo: MIKE PAGE

# Thursday 11 June, 10am to 3pm and 10 Spm and



Tim and Geli Harris, who own Catfield Fen.

Picture: JAMES BASS